

Radiative Feedback and Cluster Formation Across the GMC Mass Spectrum

SFDE17: from Local Clouds to Distant Galaxies, Aug. 6-12, 2017, Quy Nhon, Vietnam

Ralph E. Pudritz Dept. of Physics and Astronomy, and Origins Institute, McMaster University

### Students, postdocs, and collaborators

McMaster: Corey Howard (Ph.D.), Mikhail Klassen (Ph.D., Helen Kirk (Banting Fellow, Herzberg Inst. for Astrophysics), Bill Harris.

PPVI 2014, Andre et al team – filaments: (Philippe Andre, James de Francesco, Derek Ward-Thompson, Shu-ichiro Inutsuka, and Jaime Pineda) Some basic questions:

- How do clusters form in filamentary GMCs?

- 90% of stars form in clusters... so cluster formation must dictate SFEs, SFRs, ... What controls these? Feedback?

- Do cluster properties scale across GMC mass spectrum? Can we go from Orion to globular clusters with similar formation mechanism ?

### I Observational Background

Galactic Scales: eg M33

Correspondence between:

- filamentary HI gas (grey) at 21cm (VLA, GBT)

- 566 giant molecular clouds (IRAM survey);  $2x10^4 - 2x10^6 M_{Sun}$ 

- 630 young stellar clusters (Spitzer 24 µm, Galex UV- Sharma+2011); ages peak at 5 Myr

Clouds ages ~ 14 Myr (comparable to MW):

No clusters, 4Myr; embedded clusters, 2Myr; close association, clusters-GMCs.



### Clusters found at junctions of filaments

 Rosette illuminated by central OB cluster. GMC mass ~ 10<sup>5</sup> M<sub>sun</sub>

- Clusters lie at filament intersections (eg. Myers 2009). Stellar cores occur in filaments

(simulations: Klessen & Hennebelle 2010, Vasquez-Semadeni + 2009)

- Break in lognormal PDFs at  $A_v \sim 7-10$  mag

 High mass and high accretion rates produce clusters



Schneider + 2012

### Clusters and filamentary clouds in the Milky Way; Orion



Left:

Extinction map of Orion and Mon molecular clouds (Cambresy 1998); Right :

Scuba continuum 850 micron map of 10 pc portion of cloud (Johnstone & Bally 2006)

Clusters in Orion A and B clouds. Contours at 1, 10, and  $100 \text{ pc}^{-1}$ : (McGeath + 2016)

Suggests gravitational fragmentsion....



### GMC Dynamics: Gravitational Boundedness

- Filaments & clumps
- Range of virial parameters
- Observed clouds:

α from 0.5 – 5 (Rosolowsky2007, Hernandez & Tan 2015))



Does this affect cluster formation? SFRs?



### Star Formation Rates in Dense Gas: Multiscale Relation

Star formation rates correlate with the mass of dense gas in GMCs ( $n \sim 10^4$  cm<sup>-3</sup> as measured by HCN )



Tight correlation above A<sub>k</sub>~ 0.8 mag, over 9 decades! (Lada + 2012; also Wu+2005, Gao & Solomon 2004)

 $SFR \equiv \dot{M}_* = 4.6 \times 10^{-8} f_{DG} M_G(M_{\odot}) \quad M_{\odot} \ yr^{-1}$ 

### Universal cluster scalings?

Is there a higher density threshold in galactic CMZ? Why is star formation suppressed in dense clouds (Kruijssen+2014)?

- 
$$n_c / n_o = x_{turb} = A_x \alpha_{vir} \mathcal{M}^2$$
; (Krumh

(Krumholz & McKee 2005, Padoan & Nordlund (2011)

If M = 10, and α<sub>vir</sub> ~ A<sub>x</sub> ~ 1 → n\_c ~ 10<sup>2</sup> n<sub>o</sub> = 10<sup>4</sup> ✓
BUT; very sensitive to exact value of M… fine tuning?
Can have high virial parameters and M in more unbound systems…

#### Gravitational Fragmentation of Filaments – the First Step? ALMA Observations of Orion A (Kainulainen+2016)

#### Multi-scale fragmentation

 Linear theory; periodic - fastest growing mode ~ several times filament width (eg. Larson.., Inutsuka \* Miyama,..

Peak at 55,000 AU but growing power at spacings < 16,000 AU

- Periodic fragmentation for 55,000 AU (Fiege & Pudritz 2001a,b, Fischera & Martin 2012). Jeans type in spherical regions < 16,000 AU?



2 point correlation function of dense cores



Surface density of dense cores – contours at 60,80... 140 x 10<sup>21</sup> cm<sup>-2</sup>

### Evidence: core formation by gravitational instability....

Filaments: lengths 0.1 - 100 pc; masses  $1 - 10^5 \text{ M}_{\text{sun}}$ , line masses <  $1000 \text{ M}_{\text{sun}} \text{ pc}^{-1}$  (Bally+ 1987, Hacar +2013, Kainulainen+2013,2016, ... Review: Andre +2014)

Herschel observations: clouds filamentary down to sub pc (Andre+ 2010, 2014 (PPVI), Menshchikov+2011, Henning+2010..)

- Cores strongly associated with filaments > 70%; Polychroni+ 2013)

- GI? White = mass / length (T=10K):  $m > m_{crit} = 2 c_s^2 / G \sim 16 M_{sun} pc^{-1}$ 



Aquila star forming cloud: Andre+ 2010

### Isolated clumps not enough! filamentary flows gather cluster gas...





Accretion and filamentary flow: sketch of velocity field around Serpens-South cluster (Kirk et al 2013) Total velocity dispersion vs central column density of filaments (Arzoumanian et al 2013)





Infrared (H band) polarization overlaid on column density map + young stellar cluster

- Orientation often perp to dense filament.
- Field strength ~ 100  $\mu$ G



But.. this impedes filamentary flow! (gas doesn't like to cross field lines). Explanation? Serpens South filament/protocluster:  $M/L \sim 250 M_{\odot}/pc$ 



### Ending cluster accretion? radiative feedback from young clusters in filaments

Filaments and OB clusters, and HII regions in G10.6-0.4 (IRAM 30m MAMBO-2 bolometer array + SMA )

- 200  $M_{\odot}$  OB cluster

- Ultracompact HII regions: A-E



H.B. Liu et al 2011

### IMF in star forming regions

Remarkable agreement between different regions: with IMF Chabrier (2005): lognormal - with peak 0.2-0.3  $M_{sun}$  and  $\sigma = 0.5$ 

(JWST will push down to 1  $M_{jup}$  by observing in 3-10 µm)

Why? Properties of turbulence? (Padoan+ 1997, Hennebelle & Chabrier 2008, Hopkins 2012, Offner+2014)

![](_page_14_Figure_4.jpeg)

IMFs from 8 star forming regions. Data: Scholz+2012, Alves de Oliveira+2013, Oliveira+ 2009, Sung & Bessell 2010, Luhman+2007, Pena Ramirex+2012, Bayo +2011, Lodieu2013. Compiled by Offner+2014 (ppvi)

# II Cluster formation: turbulence, feedback and B.

### No feedback : turbulence, gravity and fragmentation

### Turbulence, filaments, and turbulent fragmentation

- -Theory; eg. Larson 1981; Elmegreen & Scalo (2003)
- -Reviews: eg. MacLow & Klessen 2004; McKee & Ostriker 2007; Bonnell et al 2007
- Simulations; Porter et al 1994; Vazquez-Semadeni et al 1995, Bate et al 1995, Klessen & Burkert 2001; Ostriker et al 1999, Padoan et al 2001; Tilley & Pudritz, 2004,2007; Krumholz et al 2007, Federrath et al 2010,...

Shocks dissipate turbulent support as t<sup>-1</sup> (eg. Ostriker 2001)

Figure: Subclusters, containing massive stars (blue), forming and accreting, and merging in turbulent cloud:

![](_page_16_Picture_7.jpeg)

Bonnell, Vine, Bate (2004)

### **Feedback Effects**

radiative feedback: (thermal heating, radiation pressure, photo-ionization):
\*does not\* play a strong global role major accreting dense regions absorb most of the ionizing photons (Dale & Bonnell 2011, 2012)

![](_page_17_Figure_2.jpeg)

- jets / outflows: drive small scale turbulence (Federrath+2014- winds, no rad.)
 in clumps (Li & Nakamura 2006), Matzner (2007).
 Simulations (Federrath + 2014) – reduce efficiencies by ~ 2

stellar winds: perturbation on photionization effects – create spherical HII regions (Dale+ 2014).
 Break the filaments with outflows and cutoff gas flow? (eg. Wang + 2010)

- supernovae: too late: occur after winds/photoionization (> 4 Myr).

Cluster Formation Including Radiative Feedback

Radiative feedback from massive stars: raises Jeans Mass  $M_J$ 

$$M_J \propto T^{3/2}$$

filaments don't fragment
gas drains into primary and its disk (eg. Krumholz et al 2007)
prevent fragmentation out to 1000 AU scales

Suppression of brown dwarfs: by factor 4 (Bate 2009): get robust low mass part of IMF...?

![](_page_18_Figure_5.jpeg)

### Mass limitation during cluster formation: fragmentation-induced starvation? (Peters, Klessen, Mac Low, & Banerjee 2010)

![](_page_19_Figure_1.jpeg)

Collapse into a disk of 1000 M<sub>sun</sub> clump. Ionization feedback.

- Fragments compete for gas in an unstable disk: muliplicity for O stars...
- Radiative heating -> larger Jeans mass
- (100 AU resolution)

Disk mass levels out..

Winds vs Photoionization (eg. Dale + 2014)

 Winds most effective early – when massive stars deeply embedded

- Photoionization effects dominate at late times, when winds have cleared dense gas.

-Photoionization effects dominate. Biggest effect is on low mass clouds (Also Murray+ 2010, Krumholz+2012..)

![](_page_20_Figure_4.jpeg)

### Gravity vs B in cluster building filaments: Feedback in Serpens-like filamentary cloud: B and $\rho$ in slice (Klassen, Pudritz & Kirk 2016) of gravitationally bound, 1200M<sub>Sun</sub> cloud

![](_page_21_Figure_1.jpeg)

- B II to filament within filament...perp outside: B dragged by gravitationally driven filamentary flow into cluster ..

- Bubble disrupts filament ending filamentary flow onto cluster In cloud that forms a 16  $\rm M_{Sun}$  star and small cluster.

### III. Radiative feedback and cluster formation

# Simulating radiative feedback and cluster formation in GMCs (Howard, Pudritz, & Harris, 2016)

- Radiative feedback from evolving IMF in cluster sink particles
   ray tracing from sources (Peters + 2010).
- Ionization, thermal heating, rad. pressure
- Clouds isolated: initial n=100 cm<sup>-3</sup>, box 32- 80 pc, flattop + r<sup>-3/2</sup> density profile; low rotation (2 %); initial Burgers turbulence,
- **Subgrid model:** (Howard, Pudritz, Harris 2014)
- Cluster particle forms in GMC (n=10<sup>4</sup> cm<sup>-3</sup> threshold, Lada 2010)
- Divide gas into gas for star formation (20% per free fall) and reservoir
- Randomly draw stellar masses from IMF (Chabrier 2005)

![](_page_23_Figure_8.jpeg)

![](_page_24_Figure_0.jpeg)

### Cluster formation: initially poorly bound ( $\alpha = 3$ ), 10<sup>6</sup> M<sub>sun</sub> GMC

![](_page_25_Picture_1.jpeg)

![](_page_26_Figure_0.jpeg)

### Virial parameter: controls cluster formation, SFE...

Top: Stellar mass evolution of most massive star clusters – most massive clusters form in most bound clouds

Bottom: clump and star formation efficiencies

Wide range in star formation efficiencies 20%-40%

Radiative feedback effects negligible for 10<sup>6</sup> M<sub>Sun</sub>

POINT: low SFE requires initially unbound clouds... radiative feedback not so effective

### SFR( $M_{cl}$ ) scales with $M_{cl}$ ; obs. vs theory

![](_page_27_Figure_1.jpeg)

SFR – molecular mass diagram (C. Lada + 2012)

Lines: dense gas fractions Dark (open) circles:

![](_page_27_Figure_5.jpeg)

Same trend with mass – but higher SFE with time. Additional feedback needed.

![](_page_28_Figure_0.jpeg)

2. Mass sequence of GMCs: (Howard, Pudritz & Harris 2017)

- 10<sup>4</sup>, 10<sup>5</sup>, 10<sup>6</sup> M<sub>sun</sub> (columns) @ 4 different times

- 20<sup>2</sup>, 40<sup>2</sup>, 80<sup>2</sup> pc<sup>2</sup>

-  $10^5 M_{sun}$  clouds dispersed in 5 Myr..  $10^6 M_{sun}$  live longer...

Low number of O stars in  $10^{4}$ , large gravity in  $10^{6}$  M<sub>Sun</sub>

### **Cluster Mass Function**

Observations of embedded cluster mass function in nearby regions: dlog N / dlog M ~  $M^{\beta}$ ;  $\beta_{obs}$  ~ -1

(Lada & Lada 2003)

- External galaxies show similar relation (Fall & Chandar 2012)

- Summing up over clouds  $10^4 - 10^6$  using observed GMC spectrum.. we find:

β<sub>HD</sub> ~ - 0.83
 β<sub>RHD</sub> ~ - 0.99 .. Agreement..

![](_page_29_Figure_6.jpeg)

Howard, Pudritz, Harris 2017

Radiation feedback shapes the CMF for clusters... reduces the number of high mass clusters that would form in purely hydro simulation...

IV. Cluster Mass- Cloud Scaling... and the Formation of Globular Clusters

## Maximum mass of cluster scales with cloud mass... into globular cluster regime....

Young Massive Clusters form in GMCs....of up to  $10^5 M_{sun}$ 

Globular clusters proposed to form in Supergiant Molecular Clouds (Harris & Pudritz 1994) (10<sup>7</sup> M<sub>Sun</sub>)

May also need high pressure environments (Kruijssen 2015)

Add simulations of Supergiant clouds...

![](_page_31_Figure_5.jpeg)

(Howard+ 2017, in prep) M<sub>cluster, max</sub> ~ M <sup>α</sup><sub>cloud</sub>

Linear for pure hydro..., radiative feedback makes it shallower as massive clusters reduced... but get globular cluster masses...

### Trends with metallicity:

### - higher star formation efficiency as gas gets more metal poor

Gas opacity is reduced (less dust) making feedback less efficient.

SFE approaches hydro limit as Z~ 0.1Z<sub>Sun</sub>

![](_page_32_Figure_4.jpeg)

Early universe should be building more massive clusters – more efficiently...

#### SUMMARY

An emerging picture:

filaments formed by turbulence... clusters form by gravitationally driven processes within them.

rad feedback destructive to intermediate mass clouds
 ~ 10<sup>5</sup> M<sub>Sun</sub>. More massive clouds survive and continue to produce the more massive clusters.

gravitational boundedness more important for SFE than radiation field - too late to affect very dense gas....

max cluster masses obey powerlaw scalings with cloud mass. These are not affected by radiation feedback in metal poor gas...